Appendix A, Session Observation Template



Practical Project Management

Date:		
Instructor:		

PPM Session Observation Notes

Number of participants:

Instructor	Participants
Example of instructor interaction:	Example of Participant interaction:
Review the survey and opens the in terms of relevance asks if anyone want to discuss the last survey. Open any form of communication. You may respond in chat or after class. You don't need to address publicly.	
	From Participant to Everyone: 05:37 PM To chime in, I like the idea of a review for objectives prior to group break outs, maybe going over it twice before sending us to break out rooms.
Previews may be confusing, so I will try to revise my approach.	

Appendix B, Social Presence Code Scheme

Social Presence Coding Scheme				
Category	Code	Definition		
Affective				
	Emotion	Employs conventional expressions of emotion, or		
		unconventional expressions of emotion.		
	Humor or sarcasm	Involves teasing, cajoling, irony, understatements and/or sarcasm.		
	Paralanguage	Features text outside formal syntax used to convey emotion (e.g., emoticons, punctuation, exclamation, and capitalization).		
	Self-Disclosure	Presents details of life outside of class or expresses vulnerability.		
Cohesive				
	Additional Resources	Participant provides additional readings, URLs, or other resources to help another participant or the entire group.		
	Greeting or Salutations	Uses communication that serves a purely social function: greetings, closures.		
	Group References	Addresses the group as we, us, or ours.		
	Social Sharing	Shares information relating to their work and/or home life. Also includes phatics.		
	Vocatives	Addresses or refers to participants by name		
Interaction Intensity				
	Acknowledgement	Quotes or refers directly to others' posts.		
	Compliments or Agreement	Compliments others or agrees with the contents of others' messages.		
	Disagreement	Responds to others with a respectful, supported disagreement.		
	Inquiry	Asks questions of other students or the moderator. Or requests ideas from students without asking questions.		

Knowledge & Experience		
	Leadership	Connects directly to the sociocultural framework "extra perspectives" from which everyone could learn.
	Collective Learning	Working at the problem together, and able to construct a solution.
	Extra Perspectives	Open discussions allow for multiple exchanges of ideas to evolve.
	Prior Experiences	Difference in leadership styles based on varied experiences.
Instructor Involvement		
	Activities	Community building "activities" to establish relationships and make social connections
	Critical Analyses	Encourage students to engage in higher order thinking in the online environment.
	Feedback	Instructor helps the student express their thoughts and ideas.
	Encouragement	Asks to hear students' stories and compliments their perspectives and ideas creativity.

Social Presence Coding Scheme is based on the development of (Rourke, Anderson, Garrison, and Archer (1999), Polhemus, Shih & Swan (2001), and Swan (2002) et al., 2002, as cited in Whiteside, A. L. (2015).

Appendix C, Instructor Post-Intervention Interview Guide



Practical Project Management

Instructor's Name:

Date:

Instructor Post-Intervention Interview Guide

			ness and or provide a	
Low	Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High
1 To what	extent has assigned tea	ms for the length	of the program helpe	ed to promo
	-like, collaborative lear	_		ed to promo
	,			
Low	Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High
Comment:				
Comment.				
	extent has assigning a	task facilitator ar	nd note taker/presente	r, helped to
2. To what	extent has assigning a collaborative activities		nd note taker/presente	r, helped to
2. To what			nd note taker/presente	r, helped to
2. To what			nd note taker/presente Medium High	-
2. To what promote	collaborative activities	?		r, helped to High
2. To what promote	collaborative activities	?		-
2. To what promote Low	collaborative activities	?		-
2. To what promote Low Comment:	collaborative activities Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High
2. To what promote Low Comment:	collaborative activities	Medium	Medium High	High
2. To what promote Low Comment:	collaborative activities Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High

4. To what extent is the online program meeting the audience learning needs?					
Low	Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High	
Comments:					
5. To what extent do participants collaborate to build and share knowledge with peers?					
Low	Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High	
Comments:					
6. To what ex	tent are sessions' st	tructure and pacing	within a manageabl	e timeframe?	
Low	Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High	
Comments:					
7. To what extent are participants effectively locating program materials?					
Low	Medium Low	Medium	Medium High	High	
Comments:					

Appendix D, Participant Survey Questions



Practical Project Management

Practical Project Management Participant Survey Questions based on Likert rating scale

Based on your experience in this program, please rate the topics described below.

Rating levels of satisfaction:

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Not Applicable

Questions:

- 1. Online Interaction with other Participants
- 2. Overall Satisfaction of Online Experience
- 3. Online Interaction with Instructor
- 4. Overall Satisfaction of this Program